Case 4:08-cv-00278-TCK-PJC Document 199 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 09	9/16/10 Page 1 of 4
In the United States District	Court
Northern District of Oklahon	
	(6.1
United States	
of America	08-CV-278-TCK-PSC
US.	OF IVI INED
V 3,	CED 4 c 2010
Lindsey K. Springer et al	SEP 1 6 2010
	Phil Lombardi, Clerk
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Motion to Clarify Order on	3 10
Mineral Rights	
To the wind of the same of the	
Lindsey & Springer, individually, and	das
nominee winder tederal tow for sinita. To	anily
Trust ("SLRA" and "Springer" collectively	"Defendant
Files objection and opposition to M Files objection and opposition to M Files objection and opposition to M	arina I the
W.T. Moore and Martha F. Moore Revo	
Trust dated Fune 12, 2002 ("Moure")	, and
W.T. Smith and Janneth Smith (Sm	ith")
Motion to "Clarify the interest of 5	
In real property which has ordered So Internal Revenue Procedure: Moure an	
Internal Revenue Procedure: Moure an	a specific
I, Exhibits are in error	
	Madaa
and Wit Smith and the mineral re	1 7
addressed for a track of land to	Halling
320 acres	, 50, 7, 7
Exhibit 2 1s a Joint Warranty of	eed
	and
the Grandors are a différent q	roup
OF Deople	SveNo Orig Sign
	C/Ret'dNo Env
No CpysNo Env/C	CpysO/JO/MJ

There appears four manes in the Warrantee Doed to William D. Greenhaw and Linda Greenhaw C'Greenhaws" SLCA assumed the Greenhaws debt On Fuly 29,1996. 45 Exhibit 4 clearly States no changes or otherwise are allowed unless reduced to writing, Signed by all P9. 2, Dara. 7. the District Court Found of a Federal Tax Lien that has ansen " based upon behind the disposition of the property. Order March 3, 2010, pg & the question of Minerals needs to be determined bused upon the time LCA assumed the Mortgage Motion claims Lindsey trough his nominee J.L.C.A. Fanly was the "purchaser" of the subject proporty, motion at 2 The Court order however found ! U. United States, 429 F.3d 248, 253, 1,6 6Th CIN, 2005 he Court simply said it was enforcing the nominee lien against 'Springer delinquent taxpayer. "Order at The Court found since the payments on the Mortgage came from Springer, a human being, 52.C.A Family Trus's nomineo, "Order at 30. The Court order does not find at any time that Springer is liable to the moores and Smiths individually for any mortgage as the mortgage is

a

nor ever was in Springers name.
The moores and smiths interest is
only in their morigase, They may have
Claims with SACA DUT THAT ISSUE IS
not before this court.
not before this court. The records are not "clear" that "Springer and his nominee SLCA family Trust
"Springer and his nominee SLCA family Trust
acquired the Surface and Surface Rights
acquired the Surface and Surface Rights only "Brf. at 3.
The determination of "Springer" as
Dominee does not have anything to do
with the assumption agreement as it
relates to moures and smith.
Conclusion
Defendant request this Court deny
Moure's and Smiths Motion to Clarify

Defendant request this Court deny Moures and Smiths, Motion to Clarify until they fill in the gaps between Exhibit I and Exhibit 2,3 and 4 regarding legal description and Grantur as described above.

Respect fully

Stads A Bruses

BIG Springs, Texas 79720

- (	Centu	Ficate	of	Serv	LCP

Certificate of Service
$m{j}$
I hereby certify that on September 13, 2010, I mailed a Response in Opposition to Motion to Clarify to U.S. Court Clerk, 333 W. 4th St. Tulsa, Otlahoma 74103. I further centify all parties in the case receive notice and Service through this Courts
Tomaled a Response in Opposition to Mation
to clarify to U.S. Court Clerk, 333 W. 4th St.
Tulsa Oflahoma 74103.
I further conditionall resting in the case
receive notice and service through this courts
ECF System:
Allen Mitchell
Robert Motecala
James Strong
James Strong Acting U.S Attorney Scott Woodward
Endsyl Jarger